

perform a fast 3D prescreen. The more effective the prescreen is at eliminating false positives, the faster the overall searching time.

Finally, a database screen may return different levels of information, depending on the capabilities of the software and the user's requirements. When the pharmacophore-based screen is being done as a precursor to docking, the user may need to know only the identities of the molecules that match the query. In other cases, the user may wish to see each matching structure aligned to the pharmacophore model. If multiple conformers are searched, a given molecule may yield more than one match, and the user may wish to examine some or all of them. Sorting of matches according to some fitness measure is also common, which allows the user to focus only on what he considers to be a reasonable number of high-ranking hits.

CONCLUSIONS

The past few decades have seen extensive innovation in pharmacophore modeling and continual expansion in its scope of applicability. Thus while a substantial portion of modeling efforts remain devoted to structure-based design, pharmacophore methods continue to be relied on for analysis of complex SAR data, elucidation of key ligand/receptor interactions when crystallographic data are unavailable, measurement of 3D similarity, rapid screening of large chemical libraries, and as a powerful means of combining structure-based and ligand-based knowledge.

The sheer diversity of available pharmacophore methods is a tremendous asset to modern drug discovery, but recognizing the limitations of a particular technique is absolutely critical to its successful application. Likewise, it is important to have realistic expectations about what can and cannot be achieved. A given pharmacophore method may provide any number of plausible solutions to the structure/activity problem, but it rarely points a user directly to the most correct solution. Nor is there any guarantee that all factors governing activity can be embodied in a pharmacophore model, so the picture one obtains is not always complete. Yet if the advantages are leveraged appropriately in light of the limitations, pharmacophore methods are indispensable tools in the drug discovery paradigm.

REFERENCES

1. Ehrlich, P. Present status of chemotherapy. *Chem. Ber.* **1909**, *42*, 17–47.
2. Gund, P. Three-dimensional pharmacophore pattern searching. In: *Progress in Molecular and Subcellular Biology*, Hahn, F. E.; Ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; **1977**, *5*, 117–143.
3. Marshall, G. R.; Barry, C. D.; Bosshard, H. E.; Dammkoehler, R. A.; Dunn, D. A. The conformational parameter in drug design: the active analog approach. In: *Computer-Assisted Drug Design*, Olson, E. C.; Christoffersen, R. E.; Eds. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society; **1979**, 205–226.
4. Cramer, R. D.; Patterson, D. E.; Bunce, J. D. Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA). 1. Effect of shape on binding of steroids to carrier proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 5959–5967.
5. Klebe, G.; Abraham, U.; Mietzner, T. Molecular similarity indices in comparative analysis (CoMSIA) of drug molecules to correlate and predict their biological activity. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37*, 4130–4146.
6. Li, H.; Sutter, J.; Hoffmann, R. HypoGen: an automated system for generating 3d predictive pharmacophore models. In: *Pharmacophore Perception, Development and Use in Drug Design*, Güner, O. F.; Ed. La Jolla, CA: International University Line; **2000**, 173–189.
7. Dixon, S. L.; Smondyrev, A. M.; Knoll, E. H.; Rao, S. N.; Shaw, D. E.; Friesner, R. A. PHASE: a new engine for pharmacophore perception, 3D QSAR model development, and 3d database screening. 1. Methodology and preliminary results. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **2006**, *20*, 647–671.
8. Jacobsson, M.; Gäredal, M.; Schultz, J.; Karlén, A. Identification of plasmodium falciparum spermidine synthase active site binders through structure-based virtual screening. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 2777–2786.
9. Martin, Y. C. Distance comparisons (DISCO): a new strategy for examining 3d structure-activity relationships. In: *Classical and 3D QSAR in Agrochemistry*, Hansch, C., Fujita, T.; Ed. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society; **1995**, 318–329.
10. Barnum, D.; Greene, J.; Smellie, A.; Sprague, P. Identification of common functional configurations among molecules. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1996**, *36*, 563–571.
11. Jones, G.; Willett, P.; Glen, R. C. A genetic algorithm for flexible molecular overlay and pharmacophore elucidation. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **1995**, *9*, 532–549.
12. Richmond, N. J.; Abrams, C. A.; Wolohan, P. R. N.; Abrahamian, E.; Willet, P.; Clark, R. D. GALAHAD: 1. Pharmacophore identification by hypermolecular alignment of ligands in 3D. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **2006**, *20*, 567–587.
13. Greenidge, P. A.; Carlsson, B.; Bladh, L.; Gillner, M. Pharmacophores incorporating numerous excluded volumes defined by x-ray crystallographic structure in three-dimensional database searching: application to the thyroid hormone receptor. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 2503–2512.
14. Van Drie, J. H. “Shrink-Wrap” surfaces: a new method for incorporating shape into pharmacophoric 3d database searching. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1997**, *37*, 38–42.
15. Good, A. C.; Kuntz, I. D. Investigating the extension of pairwise distance pharmacophore measures to triplet-based descriptors. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **1995**, *9*, 373–379.
16. Pickett, S. D.; Mason, J. S.; McLay, I. M. Diversity profiling and design using 3d pharmacophores: pharmacophore-derived queries (PDQ). *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1996**, *36*, 1214–1223.
17. McGregor, M. J.; Muskal, S. M. Pharmacophore fingerprinting. 1. Application to QSAR and focused library design. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1999**, *39*, 569–574.
18. Cato, S. J. Exploring pharmacophores with CHEM-X. In: *Pharmacophore Perception, Development, and Use in Drug Design*, Güner, O. F.; Ed. La Jolla, CA: International University Line; **2000**, 110–125.
19. Güner, O. F.; Henry, D. R.; Pearlman, R. S. Use of flexible queries for searching conformationally flexible molecules in databases of three-dimensional structures. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1992**, *32*, 101–109.
20. Greene, J.; Kahn, S.; Savoj, H.; Sprague, P.; Teig, S. Chemical function queries for 3d database search. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1994**, *34*, 1297–1308.