

a. Predictive Markers Versus Prognostic Markers

The most common uses for biomarkers are to determine the likely outcome of a disease in the absence of therapy, and to determine whether a given drug is likely to be effective against that disease. According to Mandrekar and Sargent (13), these two uses are referred to by the terms, *prognostic* marker and *predictive* marker, respectively. These concepts are illustrated below with data on breast cancer and colorectal cancer.

Overexpression of *HER2* by breast cancer cells increases invasiveness and tumorigenicity of breast cancer, where the oncogenic effects of *HER2* result from gene amplification rather than from mutations (14). Trastuzumab (Herceptin[®]), an antibody that binds to *HER2*, generally mediates the killing only of tumors that overexpress *HER2* (15). Tumors showing negative staining for *HER2* are not killed by *HER2*-targeted therapies. In fact, the package insert for Herceptin expressly states that this drug has been FDA-approved only for patients having tumors that overexpress *HER2*. The package insert reads, “Herceptin as a single agent is indicated for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer whose tumors overexpress the *HER2* protein and who have

received one or more chemotherapy regimens for their metastatic disease” (16).

Breast cancer patients with *HER2* overexpression face bad news and good news. The bad news is that this overexpression is associated with a more aggressive cancer. But the good news is that this overexpression is associated with enhanced efficacy of Herceptin.

This concerns a different marker, *KRAS*. *RAS* is a protein that is part of a cell signaling pathway (17). *RAS* actually represents a family of three different genes, namely, *KRAS*, *HRAS*, and *NRAS*. *KRAS*, also called Ki-RAS or Kirsten-RAS, was named after Werner Kirsten (18,19). Oncogenic mutations in *KRAS* occur in about 40% of colorectal cancers. These mutations are associated with a somewhat poorer prognosis.

This concerns predicting responses of a patient to a drug. In summarizing the results from a clinical trial on colorectal cancer, Mandrekar and Sargent (20) state that *KRAS* status was assessed on 427 subjects, where 43% were found to have *KRAS* mutations. Subjects were then randomized to receive either anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (anti-EGFR) antibody (panitumumab) or placebo. The results demonstrated that the anti-EGFR antibody was more effective in subjects where tumors expressed wild-type *KRAS*, and less effective where tumors expressed mutated *KRAS*.

¹³Mandrekar SJ, Sargent DJ. Clinical trial designs for predictive biomarker validation: theoretical considerations and practical challenges. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2009;27:4027–34.

¹⁴Purdie CA, Baker L, Ashfield A, et al. Increased mortality in *HER2* positive, oestrogen receptor positive invasive breast cancer: a population-based study. *Br. J. Cancer* 2010;103:475–81.

¹⁵Gutierrez C, Schiff R. *HER2*: biology, detection, and clinical implications. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* 2011;135:55–62.

¹⁶Herceptin[®] trastuzumab. Package insert. Genentech, South San Francisco, CA; October 2003.

¹⁷Brody T. *Nutritional biochemistry*. 2nd ed. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 1999. pp. 898–902.

¹⁸Russo A, Bazan V, Agnese V, Rodolico V, Gebbia N. Prognostic and predictive factors in colorectal cancer: Kirsten Ras in CRC (RASCAL) and TP53CRC collaborative studies. *Ann. Oncol.* 2005;16(Suppl. 4):iv 44–9.

¹⁹Kirsten WH, Schauf V, McCoy J. Properties of a murine sarcoma virus. *Bibl. Haematol.* 1970;36:246–9.

²⁰Mandrekar SJ, Sargent DJ. Clinical trial designs for predictive biomarker validation: theoretical considerations and practical challenges. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2009;27:4027–34.