

phenomena plus the challenge of cleaning test chambers contaminated from liquid leakage are disadvantages that should be considered prior to leak test method selection.

Weight Loss or Gain

Product-package weight change as a function of time and temperature is a practical integrity method that can be readily incorporated as part of product stability studies. This technique is especially useful for semipermeable packages containing volatile products or products prone to moisture sorption.

CONCLUSION

In recent years, regulatory bodies have encouraged the pharmaceutical industry to explore novel package integrity test methods that do not rely on traditional dye or microbial ingress tests. This movement has driven improvements in leak testing technologies and sparked exciting new developments. Today, rapid, sensitive, and nondestructive leak test methods exist for testing most pharmaceutical parenteral product-package systems. Vacuum decay is primarily useful for detecting leaks in packages having gas headspace, but unique applications exist for testing liquid-filled container-closures as well. Electrical conductivity or HVLD tests have demonstrated great potential for testing a large portion of liquid-filled packages. FMS is ideal for integrity testing clear or translucent containers sealed under low pressure or with an inert gas headspace. Other techniques exist that are important tools for laboratory use in package development and forensics testing, including helium mass spectrometry, dye or liquid tracer ingress, bubble tests, and weight change, checks for seal quality assurance, for example, RSF, are vital as well. These and other methods likely on the horizon provide a leak test method arsenal that can help ensure better quality products, with fewer recalls linked to container-closure integrity failures.

REFERENCES

1. Department of Health and Human Services. Submission Documentation for Sterilization Process Validation in Applications for Human and Veterinary Drug Products. Guidance for Industry. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM), 1994.
2. Department of Health and Human Services. Container Closure Systems for Packaging Human Drugs and Biologics, CMC Documentation, Guidance for Industry. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), 1999.
3. Department of Health and Human Services. Container and Closure System Integrity Testing in Lieu of Sterility Testing as a Component of the Stability Protocol for Sterile Products. Guidance for Industry. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM), 2008.
4. EudraLex. The Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union, Volume 4. EU Guidelines to Good Manufacturing Practice, Medicinal Products for Human and Veterinary Use, Annex 1 Manufacture of Sterile Medicinal Products. Brussels, Belgium: European Union, European Commission, DG Enterprise and Industry—Pharmaceuticals Unit, 2008.
5. Department of Health and Human Services. Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing—Current Good Manufacturing Practice. Guidance for Industry. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA), 2004.
6. PDA. Task Force on Container/Closure Integrity, Pharmaceutical Package Integrity. Technical Report 27. PDA J Pharm Sci Technol 1998; 52(suppl): 1–48.
7. Morton DK, Lordi NG, Ambrosio TJ. Quantitative and mechanistic measurements of parenteral vial container/closure integrity. Leakage quantitation. J Parenter Sci Technol 1989; 43:88–97.
8. Morton DK, Lordi NG, Troutman LH, et al. Quantitative and mechanistic measurements of container/closure integrity: Bubble, liquid, and microbial leakage tests. J Parenter Sci Technol 1989; 43:104–108.